Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/563,596	SUZUKI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Angela C. Scott	1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) <u>Angela C. Scott</u> . (3) <u>Kirsten Grueneberg</u> .	
(2) <u>Mark Eashoo</u> . (4)	
Date of Interview: 28 November 2007.	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-6</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>The art of record</u> .	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \boxtimes was not reached. h) \square N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <u>Examples 1-5 on Tables 1 and 2 of the specification were discussed.</u> <a hre<="" u="">	<u>'-4</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claim allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ns
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, T FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required